UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/598,923 | 09/14/2006 | Makoto Murata | WATAB6.001APC | 2125 |
| | 7590 01/28/201 RTENS OLSON & BE | EXAMINER | | |
| 2040 MAIN ST | | AHMED, SHEEBA | | |
| FOURTEENTH IRVINE, CA 92 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/28/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/598,923 | MURATA ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | SHEEBA AHMED | 1794 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | 04400 |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | , | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti | er form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally rei | acted claims | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally rep | ected ciaims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-12</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Sheeba Ahmed/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Jnit 1794 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants traverse the rejection of record and state that when the polymer liquid crystal fine particles according to the present invention is applied with heat, light, or both, the cinnamoyl groups contained in the polymer liquid crystal molecules are dimerized. Along the dimerized cinnamoyl groups, the mesogens become orientated as well. Moreover, when the polymer liquid crystal fine particles are subsequently heated, the remaining mesogens, which are not orientated yet, become easily mobile, and orientated along the fixed mesogens. Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to paragraph [0023] of the specification as originally filed and further state that the mesogens in the liquid crystal particles according to Claim 9 are configured to be fixed upon application of heat or light or both whereas the mesogens present in the polymer liquid crystals of Ohnishi are configured not be fixed but freely move. However, the Examiner takes the position that the Applicants are relying on limitations that are not recited in the claims (i.e., that the cinnamoyl groups contained in the polymer liquid crystal molecules are dimerized and that the mesogens in the liquid crystal particles are configured to be fixed upon application of heat or light) and although the claims are read in light of the Specification, limitations can be read into the claims from the Specification. Hence, the rejection of record is maintained.